

Table V: Sequential administration IPV-OPV**Population** : Immunocompetent individuals**Intervention**: Sequential administration of IPV followed by OPV**Comparison**: No vaccination**Outcome** : Cases of poliomyelitis

PICO Question: What is the quality of scientific evidence that sequential immunization schedules starting with ≥ 2 doses of IPV followed by ≥ 2 doses of OPV induce protective immune responses to all three poliovirus serotypes in $\geq 90\%$ of vaccines, (i.e. responses comparable to those induced by the same number of doses of either OPV or IPV alone				
		Rating	Adjustment to rating	
Quality Assessment	No of studies/starting rating		1 RCT/ 5 observational ¹	4
	Factors decreasing confidence	Limitation in study design	None serious	0
		Inconsistency	None serious	0
		Indirectness	None serious	0
		Imprecision	None serious	0
		Publication bias	None detected	0
	Factors increasing confidence	Strength of association/ large effect	Not applicable	0
		Dose-response	Not applicable	0
		Antagonistic /mitigated bias and confounding	Not applicable	0
	Final numerical rating of quality of evidence			4
Summary of Findings	Statement on quality of evidence		We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of effect on health outcome	
	Conclusion		High scientific evidence that a sequential schedule of IPV and OPV protects against clinical poliomyelitis.	

¹Modlin JF et al (1997) showed in a randomized controlled study of 510 infants, that for each of the 3 IPV-OPV experimental sequential schedules, the first OPV dose significantly enhanced seroconversion rates and geometric mean micro-neutralization antibody titers (GMT). 3 months after the final dose, 96%-99%, 99%-100%, and 81%-100% of infants had antibodies to poliovirus types 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Subjects with ≥ 2 prior OPV doses were significantly less likely than those with none or one prior OPV dose to excrete virus in feces after an OPV challenge. Faden H et al (1990) showed that incorporation of at least one dose of IPV at the start of the immunization schedule tends to increase systemic as well as local antibody production. Faden H et al (1993) showed that as compared to OPV-OPV-OPV, eIPV-eIPV-eIPV, eIPV-OPV-OPV, and eIPV-eIPV-OPV those receiving the eIPV-eIPV-OPV schedule maintained the highest antibody levels throughout the observation period, including the response to OPV challenge at 5 years of age. Swartz TA et al (1998) assessing the effectiveness of an intercalated IPV-OPV vaccine programme in Israel concluded that the programme offered high individual protection throughout the first 5 years of life. von Magnus H et al (1984) reported that in Denmark with a sequential 3-dose IPV/OPV immunization programme since 1968, $\geq 95\%$ of the population had antibodies to poliovirus, and the GMT of serum antibodies ≥ 10 IU for all three types. Lu CY et al (2001) showed that protective antibodies were present in all infants (6 months), 2 months after the second IPV dose. Antibody titers were augmented at the age of 19 months, 1 month after the booster dose of OPV.

References

1. Modlin JF, Halsey NA, Thoms ML, et al. Humoral and mucosal immunity in infants induced by three sequential inactivated poliovirus vaccine–live attenuated poliovirus vaccine immunization schedules. *J Infect Dis* 1997;175(suppl 1):S228–34.
2. Faden H, Modlin JF, Thoms ML, McBean A, Ferdon MB, Ogra PL. Comparative evaluation of immunization with live attenuated and enhanced-potency inactivated trivalent poliovirus vaccines in childhood: systemic and local immune responses. *J Infect Dis* 1990;162:1291–7.
3. Faden H, Duffy L, Sun M, Shuff C. Long-term immunity to poliovirus in children immunized with live attenuated and enhanced-potency inactivated trivalent poliovirus vaccines. *J Infect Dis*. 1993 Aug;168(2):452-4.
4. Swartz TA, Handsher R, Manor Y, Stoeckel P, Barkay A, Mendelson E, Leventhal A. Immune response to an intercalated enhanced inactivated polio vaccine/oral polio vaccine programme in Israel: impact on the control of poliomyelitis. *Vaccine*. 1998 Dec;16(20):2090-5.
5. von Magnus H, Petersen I. Vaccination with inactivated poliovirus vaccine and oral poliovirus vaccine in Denmark. *Rev Infect Dis*. 1984 May-Jun;6 Suppl 2:S471-4.
6. Lu CY, Kao CL, Lee CY, Lee PI, Huang LM. Immunogenicity and fecal poliovirus excretion in sequential use of inactivated and oral poliovirus vaccines. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2001 Aug;100(8):513-8.